



May 22, 2019

Dear Member:

The members of the Fair Arbitration Now coalition **strongly support** Rep. Green's Amendment to the Consumers First Act, which would reinstate the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)'s 2017 rule governing forced arbitration. The CFPB issued its final rule on forced arbitration which restores the rights of consumers, investors, and servicemembers to participate in class actions against large financial corporations that have broken the law. This rule was wiped out with the use of the Congressional Review Act which passed the House in July of 2017.

By reviving the CFPB rule, the Green Amendment 29 restores the rights of consumers, investors, and servicemembers to participate in class actions against large financial corporations that have broken the law by doing the following:

- 1) Restores the constitutional rights of consumers that are harmed to band together to hold Wall Street accountable for their wrongdoing; and
- 2) Maintains the right to force individuals into arbitration, but imposes long overdue transparency requirements that shine light on an often biased and opaque process.

The CFPB arbitration rule and Green Amendment Rule 29 also codify much of Senator Lindsey Graham's Servicemember Civil Relief Act (SCRA) Rights Protection Act, which restores the rights of service members to hold banks accountable for violating a federal law meant to protect members of our military.

Attached is a letter signed by 370 consumer, labor, civil rights, community, and nonprofit organizations also expressing strong support for the arbitration rule that the Green Amendment would restore.

Please vote YES to Green Amendment 29 to the Consumers First Act, HR 1500.

Sincerely,

Fair Arbitration Now (Organizations that support ending the predatory practice of forced arbitration in consumer and non-bargaining employment contracts:

<http://www.fairarbitrationnow.org/coalition/>), consisting of the following organizations:

Alliance for Justice
Alzheimer's Foundation of America
American Association for Justice
American Association of University Women
Americans for Democratic Action
Americans for Fairness in Lending
American Federation of Labor-Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO)
American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME)
Americans for Financial Reform
Arizona Consumers Council
Arizona PIRG
Asian American Justice Center
Black Leadership Forum
Campaign for Contract Agriculture Reform
Center for Justice & Democracy
Center for Medicare Advocacy
Center for Responsible Lending
Citizen Works
Coalition of Franchisee Associations
Communication Workers of America
Community Action Partnership
Consumer Action
Consumer Federation of America
Consumer Watchdog
Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety
Consumers Union
Demand Justice
Demos
Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund
Drum Major Institute for Public Policy
Empire Justice Center
The Employee Rights Advocacy Institute For Law & Policy

Farmworker Justice
Florida Alliance for Consumer Protection
Give Me Back My Rights Coalition
Government Accountability Project
Homeowners Against Deficient Dwellings
Home Owners for Better Building
Impact Fund
International Brotherhood of Teamsters (IBT)
Japanese American Citizens League
Laborers' International Union of North America (LiUNA)
Lambda Legal
Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
Leadership Conference on Civil Rights
Legal Services of Southern Piedmont
The Long Term Care Community Coalition
Mid Minnesota Legal Assistance
National Association of Consumer Advocates
National Association of Human Rights Workers
National Association of State Long-Term Care Ombudsman Programs
The National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care
National Community Reinvestment Coalition
National Consumer Law Center (On behalf of its low income clients)
National Consumers League
National Contract Poultry Growers Association
National Council of La Raza
National Employment Law Project
National Employment Lawyers Association
National Fair Housing Alliance
National Partnership for Women & Families
Justice in Aging
National Women's Law Center

National Women's Health Network
Neighborhood Economic Development Advocacy Project (NEDAP)
Organization of Competitive Markets
Policyholders of America
Progressive States Network
Public Citizen
Public Justice Center
Rural Advancement Foundation International – USA
Service Employees International Union (SEIU)
Take Back Your Rights PAC
Texas Watch
U.S. Public Interest Research Group
United Policyholders
Virginia Citizens Consumer Council
Virginia Poverty Law Center
Women Employed
Workplace Fairness

October 23, 2017

Monica Jackson
Office of the Executive Secretary
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
1700 G Street, NW
Washington DC 20552

Re: Final Rule on Arbitration Agreements

The 370 undersigned consumer, civil rights, labor, community, and non-profit organizations write to state our strong support for the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)'s final rule to limit pre-dispute binding mandatory (or forced) arbitration clauses in consumer finance contracts. The rule, which will restore consumers' ability to band together in court to pursue claims, is a significant step forward in the ongoing fight to curb predatory practices in consumer financial products and services and to make these markets fairer and safer.

Lenders and other financial services companies use forced arbitration to push consumers out of court and into a private arbitration system that is tilted against them. Forced arbitration eliminates the right to a civil jury trial, limits discovery, restricts or prohibits public disclosure of proceedings and outcomes, and makes meaningful appeals virtually impossible. It also often prohibits consumers from banding together in a class action to hold the company responsible.

Recent scandals again demonstrate the very real harm forced arbitration causes consumers. Reports show that customers had been trying to sue financial services institutions over fraudulent accounts going back a number of years.¹ However, some banks forced those customers into secret, binding arbitration by invoking fine print in consumers' legitimate account agreements to block them from suing over reasons as outrageous as fake accounts, also helping to keep the scandal out of the public eye. Even in cases where widespread fraud has been exposed, banks continue to invoke these fine-print clauses to kill lawsuits stemming from their illegal acts and block consumer recovery.²

The CFPB's thorough arbitration study³ further documents how forced arbitration blocks consumer access to courts, shielding banks and lenders from meaningful accountability for their unlawful behavior. Finalizing the proposed rule will restore crucial class action rights that deter systemic abuses and bring much-needed transparency to consumer financial arbitration.

¹ Michael Hiltzik, *How Wells Fargo exploited a binding arbitration clause to deflect customers' fraud allegations*, THE LOS ANGELES TIMES, Sept. 26, 2016, <http://www.latimes.com/business/hiltzik/la-fi-hiltzik-wells-arbitration-20160926-snap-story.html>

² Michael Corkery & Stacy Cowley, *Wells Fargo Killing Sham Account Suits by Using Arbitration*, THE NEW YORK TIMES, Dec. 6, 2016, <http://www.nytimes.com/2016/12/06/business/dealbook/wells-fargo-killing-sham-account-suits-by-using-arbitration.html>

³ "Arbitration Study: Report to Congress, pursuant to Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act § 1028(a)."

The CFPB Study Data Shows That Forced Arbitration Eliminates Consumer Claims and Shields Companies from Accountability

The CFPB's study verified the prevalence of forced arbitration clauses – including class action bans – in consumer financial contracts and found that this practice impacts tens of millions of consumers. Yet it also revealed that consumers typically have no idea they are signing away their right to sue in court when they participate in the financial marketplace.⁴

The most obvious impact of forced arbitration clauses is that they block most consumer claims from going forward at all. Class action bans prevent consumers from bringing complaints of fraud or other abusive or deceptive practices in financial services because the individual value of these claims is often too small for a single consumer to afford to bring alone. Without the option to join together in a class action, just 25 consumers with claims of under \$1,000 pursued arbitration each year. In a country of over 320 million, these numbers leave no doubt that class action bans effectively wipe out consumer claims and thus shield corporate wrongdoers from liability. In the few claims that went to arbitration, the study also confirmed that forced arbitration overwhelmingly favors industry over consumers.⁵

Class Actions Provide Great Benefit for Consumers Cheated by Systemic Wrongdoing and Deter Risky or Illegal Conduct

The data makes clear that class actions provide a practical way for groups of consumers who have suffered the same kind of abuse from the same corporate wrongdoer to join together to attempt to hold the financial institution accountable. The CFPB study found that 34 million consumers received a total of \$2.2 billion in cash payments, debt forbearance, and other in-kind relief from 2008-2012 – not including any attorneys' fees or court costs.

These findings were echoed in an empirical study by disinterested academics, which found consumer class actions against illegal overdraft fees “deliver[ed] fair compensation to a significant portion of class members.” Several major banks settled class actions that claimed the banks had purposely reordered consumer transactions to maximize the amount of overdraft fees charged to the consumer. This study found that plaintiffs in these cases recovered up to “65% of damages, with the variation based largely on the strength of the class's claims and the likelihood of winning certification of the class.”⁶ Yet unknown thousands of other consumers subject to similarly unlawful overdraft fee practices likely got little or no relief when class actions against their banks were dismissed due to arbitration clauses.⁷

⁴ Data revealed that more than 75 percent of consumers surveyed did not know whether they were subject to forced arbitration in their consumer financial contracts, and fewer than 7 percent of those covered by arbitration clauses realized the clauses restricted their ability to sue in court.

⁵ In 2010 and 2011, only 9% of consumers who brought affirmative claims obtained relief in forced arbitration, recovering an average of 12 cents per dollar claimed. In contrast, 93% of companies obtained relief in forced arbitration, recovering an average of 98 cents per dollar.

⁶ Brian T. Fitzpatrick and Robert C. Gilbert, *An Empirical Look at Compensation in Consumer Class Actions* 11 NEW YORK JOURNAL OF LAW & BUSINESS 4 (2015). Available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2577775>.

⁷ See, e.g., *In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation*, 2012 WL 660974 (11th Cir. Mar. 1, 2012) (finding arbitration contract was not unconscionable).

Even assuming that their claims would be fairly resolved in arbitration, leaving 34 million consumers to find their own attorney, establish the individual facts of their case, and take time off work to attend an arbitration will never be more efficient than pooling time and resources between millions of consumers harmed in the same way by the same bank or lender to challenge abusive practices. Indeed, additional empirical scholarship demonstrates that most consumers are unaware when they have been harmed, unaware that the harm violates a law, or have decided that filing individual claims is not worth their time and expense.⁸

Collective action is critically important, not only for enabling those already victimized to obtain justice, but also for deterring bad behavior and preventing harm to other victims. While each individual consumer may only lose \$25 or \$50 to a fraudulent charge or illegal fee, for example, unlawful practices implemented at a systemic level can add up to millions or more in ill-gotten gains for banks and lenders who violate the law. Government enforcers have limited resources, and the prospect of class actions helps ensure that banks and lenders obey legal requirements that protect consumers.

The Rule's Reporting Requirements Add Crucial Transparency to Arbitration

Our organizations strongly support the proposed provision to begin shining a light on individual arbitrations through reporting requirements. Unlike our legal system, which is built upon hundreds of years of precedent, common law principles, and statutory standards of fairness and ethics, arbitration firms have few constraints on their practices and scant record of their proceedings. The substantially shorter history of consumer arbitration has nonetheless produced both anecdotal claims of unethical behavior⁹ and documented systemic abuses by unregulated arbitration firms.¹⁰

The rule's reporting requirements will lend crucial transparency and accountability to a previously opaque system. Increased transparency can help consumers make informed decisions when choosing how to pursue their claim, in line with well-established principles of the free market. Data collected by the CFPB will also help other government entities, as well as the general public, ensure that arbitrators operate within the law and treat all parties fairly.

The Rule is in the Public Interest and for the Protection of Consumers

Because forced arbitration undermines compliance with laws and creates an uneven playing field between corporations that use forced arbitration and those that allow for greater consumer choice in dispute resolution, it is in the public interest and in the interest of consumer protection to prohibit or strictly curtail the use of forced arbitration clauses in consumer financial contracts.

⁸ When consumers *are* aware of being wronged they may raise complaints internally with companies, file with a government agency, or seek protection from a credit card company if appropriate, rather than engage in more difficult and expensive litigation or arbitration. See Jean R. Sternlight, *Mandatory Binding Arbitration Clauses Prevent Consumers from Presenting Procedurally Difficult Claims*, 42 SOUTHWESTERN L. REV. 87, 101-102 (2012).

⁹ Jessica Silver-Greenberg & Michael Corkery, *In Arbitration, a 'Privatization of the Justice System,'* THE NEW YORK TIMES, Nov. 1, 2015, <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/11/02/business/dealbook/in-arbitration-a-privatization-of-the-justice-system.html>.

¹⁰ See, e.g., Complaint for Injunctive Relief & Civil Penalties for Violations of Business & Professions Code Section 17200, *People v. Nat'l Arbitration Forum, Inc.*, No. CGC-08- 473569 (Cal. Super. Ct. Mar. 24, 2008).

We commend the CFPB for finalizing its rule to restore consumers' right to choose how to resolve disputes with financial institutions and address the public harm caused by forced arbitration, as thoroughly documented in its three-year, comprehensive study.

For questions about this letter, please contact Amanda Werner, Arbitration Campaign Manager with Americans for Financial Reform and Public Citizen, (202) 973-8004, awerner@ourfinancialsecurity.org; and Christine Hines, National Association of Consumer Advocates, (202) 452-1989, christine@consumeradvocates.org.

Thank you for the opportunity to share our views.

National Signatories

9to5, National Association of Working Women
Action In Maturity, Inc.
Affordable Housing Alliance
AFL-CIO
Alianza Americas
Alliance for Justice
Allied Progress
American Association for Justice
American Association of University Women (AAUW)
American Council of the Blind
American Family Voices
American Federation of Government Employees (AFGE)
American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME)
American Federation of Teachers
Americans for Democratic Action
Americans for Financial Reform
Association of University Centers on Disabilities
Bankruptcy Law Center
The Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law
Bend the Arc Jewish Action
Center for Economic Integrity
Center for Economic Justice
Center for Global Policy Solutions
Center for Justice & Democracy
Center for Popular Democracy
Center for Progressive Reform
Center for Responsible Lending
Centro Legal de la Raza
CFED
Coalition on Human Needs
Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws

Communications Workers of America
Congregation of Saint Joseph – Peace and Justice Team
Consumer Action
Consumer Federation of America
Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety
Consumers Union
Consumer Voice
Daily Kos
Demos
Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund
Economic Analysis and Research Network (EARN)
Economic Policy Institute
The Employee Rights Advocacy Institute For Law & Policy
Equal Justice Society
Equal Justice Works
Fair Share
The Financial Clinic
Food & Water Watch
Fund Democracy
Global Justice Institute
Government Accountability Project
Green Peace Corps
Heartland Alliance for Human Needs & Human Rights
Higher Ed, Not Debt
Hindu American Foundation
Homeowners Against Deficient Dwellings
Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy
The Institute for College Access & Success
Institute for Science and Human Values
Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility
International Association for College Admission Counseling
Jobs With Justice
Justice in Aging
Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights
League of United Latin American Citizens
Let Freedom Ring
Main Street Alliance
Manufactured Housing Action
Mission Asset Fund
NAACP
NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.
National Association for College Admission Counseling
National Association of Consumer Advocates
National Association of Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys (NACBA)
National Association for Latino Community Asset Builders

National Association of Social Workers (NASW)
National Center for Law and Economic Justice
National Center for Lesbian Rights
National Center for Transgender Equality
National Coalition For Asian Pacific American Community Development
National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC)
National Council of Jewish Women
National Council of La Raza/UnidosUS
National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of its low income clients)
National Consumers League
National Employment Lawyers Association
National Employment Law Project
National Fair Housing Alliance
National Health Law Program
National Latino Farmers & Ranchers Trade Association
National Legal Aid and Defender Association
National LGBTQ Task Force
National Partnership for Women & Families
National Organization for Women
National Urban League
National Women's Law Center
New Rules for Global Finance
Occupational Safety & Health Law Project
Other98
People's Action
Priests of the Sacred Heart, U.S. Province
Privacy Rights Clearinghouse
Progressive Congress Action Fund
Protect All Children's Environment
Public Advocacy for Kids
Public Citizen
Public Justice
Public Knowledge
Public Law Center
The Rootstrikers Project at Demand Progress
Salvadoran American National Network (SANN)
Service Employees International Union (SEIU)
Sierra Club
Sisters of Charity of Nazareth Congregational Leadership
Small Business Majority
Southern Poverty Law Center
State Innovation Exchange (SIX)
Together We Will
TURN–The Utility Reform Network
UltraViolet
United Auto Workers (UAW)

United Church of Christ Justice and Witness Ministries
United Policyholders
U.S. PIRG
Veterans Education Success
Woodstock Institute
Workplace Fairness
Worksafe
World Hunger Education, Advocacy & Training (WHEAT)
Young Invincibles

State and Local Signatories

Alabama

Woodmere Neighborhood Association – AL

Arkansas

Arkansans Against Abusive Payday Lending – AR
Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families – AR
Northcentral Arkansas Development Council – AR

Arizona

Arizona Community Action Association – AZ
Arizona PIRG – AZ
Gila County Community Services – AZ
Mesa Community Action Network – AZ
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona – AZ

California

Asian Law Alliance – CA
California Reinvestment Coalition – CA
California Resources and Training – CA
CALPIRG – CA
Center for Public Interest Law, University of San Diego School of Law – CA
CLUE: Clergy and Laity United for Economic Justice – CA
Community Development Technologies (CDTech) – CA
Consumer Attorneys of California – CA
Consumer Federation of California – CA
East Bay Community Law Center – CA
East LA Community Corporation – CA
Golden State Manufactured-home Owners League – CA
The Greenlining Institute – CA
Law Foundation of Silicon Valley – CA
Public Counsel – CA
Resistance Action Tuesdays and Thursdays (RATT Pack) – CA
Wrigley Is Going Green! – CA

Colorado

9to5 Colorado – CO
Bell Policy Center – CO
Build Our Homes Right – CO
Colorado AFL-CIO – CO
Colorado Alliance of Retired Americans – CO
Colorado Center on Law & Policy – CO
Colorado Council of Churches – CO
Colorado Fiscal Institute – CO
Colorado Latino Forum, Denver Chapter – CO
Colorado Latino Leadership, Advocacy and Research Organization (CLLARO) – CO
Colorado Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) – CO
Colorado Trial Lawyers Association – CO
NAACP State Conference – CO, MT, WY
National Council of Jewish Women, Colorado Section – CO
The Interfaith Alliance of Colorado – CO

Connecticut

Capital For Change, Inc. – CT
CT. Citizen Action Group – CT
Connecticut Legal Services, Inc. – CT
ConnPIRG – CT

Delaware

Legal Aid Society of the District of Columbia – DC
ACLU of Delaware, Inc. – DE
Community Legal Aid Society, Inc. – DE
Delaware Alliance for Community Advancement – DE
Delaware Community Reinvestment Action Council, Inc. – DE
Delaware Manufactured Homeowners Association (DMHOA) – DE

Florida

Catalyst Miami – FL
Fair Housing Center of the Greater Palm Beaches – FL
Florida Alliance for Consumer Protection – FL
Florida PIRG – FL
Jacksonville Area Legal Aid, Inc. – FL
Needy Basket Miami County Food Pantry – FL
Progress Florida – FL

Georgia

Georgia PIRG – GA
Georgia Rural Urban Summit – GA
Georgia Watch – GA
Progressive Christian Ministries, Inc. – GA

Iowa

Iowa Citizens for Community Improvement – IA
Iowa PIRG – IA

Illinois

Chicago Jobs Council – IL
Citizen Action – IL
Illinois Asset Building Group – IL
Illinois Association for College Admission Counseling – IL
Illinois PIRG – IL
Manufactured Home Owners Association of Illinois – IL
Metropolitan Tenants Organization – IL
Partners In Community Building, Inc. – IL
Project IRENE – IL

Indiana

Habitat for Humanity of Northeast Indiana – IN
HomesteadCS – IN
Indiana University McKinney School of Law – IN

Kansas

Interfaith Housing Services, Inc. – KS
Labette Assistance Center – KS

Kentucky

Homeless & Housing Coalition of Kentucky – KY
Kentucky Council of Churches – KY
Kentucky Equal Justice Center – KY

Louisiana

Louisiana Budget Project – LA
The Middleburg Institute/LABEST – LA
PREACH – LA

Maine

Maine Center for Economic Policy – ME

Massachusetts

Cambridge Economic Opportunity Committee, Inc. – MA
Community Action! – MA
Consumer Assistance Council, Inc. – MA
Consumer World – MA
Greater Lawrence Community Action – Consumer Protection Program – MA
Massachusetts Consumers Council, Inc. – MA
MASSPIRG – MA
The Midas Collaborative – MA

Maryland

Baltimore CASH Campaign – MD
Baltimore Neighborhoods, Inc. – MD
Belair-Edison Neighborhoods, Inc. – MD
Civil Justice, Inc. – MD
Housing Options & Planning Enterprises, Inc. – MD
Howard County Office of Consumer Protection – MD
Maryland CASH Campaign – MD
Maryland Consumer Rights Coalition – MD
Maryland PIRG – MD
Maryland United for Peace and Justice – MD
Public Justice Center – MD

Michigan

Michigan Association for College Admission Counseling – MI
Michigan Disability Rights Coalition – MI
PIRG in Michigan (PIRGIM) – MI
Progress Michigan – MI

Minnesota

Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid – MN
Minnesota Association for College Admission Counseling – MN

Missouri

L and C Ministries – MO
Missouri Association for College Admission Counseling – MO
Missouri Faith Voices – MO
Missouri PIRG – MO
MORE - Missourians Organizing for Reform and Empowerment – MO
RAA Ready, Aim, Advocate – MO

Mississippi

Mississippi Center for Justice – MS

Montana

AFSCME Montana Council 9 – MT
Greater Yellowstone Central Labor Council – MT
Laborers Local #1686 – MT
Montana Organizing Project – MT
Rural Dynamics, Inc. – MT

Nebraska

Nebraska Appleseed – NE

New Hampshire

Granite State Organizing Project – NH

Green Alliance – NH

NHPIRG – NH

New Jersey

Action Together Atlantic and Cape May Counties – NJ

Anti-Poverty Network of New Jersey – NJ

Consumers League of New Jersey – NJ

Legal Services of New Jersey – NJ

Manufactured Home Owners of New Jersey, Inc. – NJ

New Jersey Association for College Admission Counseling – NJ

New Jersey Citizen Action – NJ

NJ PIRG – NJ

Sisters of St. Dominic of Caldwell – NJ

New Mexico

Center for Economic Integrity - New Mexico Office – NM

NMPIRG – NM

Nevada

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. – NV

Opportunity Alliance Nevada – NV

New York

Bankruptcy Law Center – NY

Central New York Citizens in Action, Inc. – NY

Community Service Society of New York – NY

DC 37 AFSCME Municipal Employees Legal Services – NY

Empire Justice Center – NY

Empire State Consumer Project – NY

Greater New York Labor-Religion Coalition – NY

Helping Hands for the Disabled of NYC – NY

Housing and Family Services of Greater New York, Inc. – NY

Hudson River Housing – NY

JASA Legal Services for the Elderly in Queens – NY

Keuka Housing Council, Inc. – NY

Long Island Housing Services, Inc. – NY

Make the Road New York – NY

MFY Legal Services, Inc. – NY

NELA/NY (New York Affiliate of National Employment Lawyers Association) – NY

New Economy Project – NY

New York Legal Assistance Group – NY

New York Public Interest Research Group (NYPIRG) – NY

New York State Association for College Admission Counseling – NY

Public Utility Law Project of New York – NY

Western New York Law Center – NY

North Carolina

Financial Pathways of the Piedmont – NC
North Carolina Consumers Council – NC
North Carolina Justice Center – NC
NCPIRG – NC
OnTrack WNC Financial Education & Counseling – NC
Reinvestment Partners – NC
The Collaborative NC – NC
Winston Salem Forsyth County Asset Building Coalition – NC

North Dakota

North Dakota Economic Security and Prosperity Alliance – ND
Sacred Pipe Resource Center – ND

Ohio

Cleveland Tenants Organization – OH
COHHIO – OH
Habitat for Humanity of Findlay/Hancock County – OH
Miami Valley Fair Housing Center, Inc. – OH
Neighborhood Housing Services of Greater Cleveland – OH
Ohio Association of Local Reentry Coalitions – OH
Ohio PIRG – OH
Ohio Poverty Law Center – OH

Oregon

Innovative Changes – OR
Oregon Consumer League – OR
Oregon PIRG (OSPIRG) – OR

Pennsylvania

Bhutanese Community Association of Pittsburgh – PA
Greater Pittsburgh Community Food Bank – PA
Integra Home Counseling, Inc. – PA
Keystone Progress – PA
One Less Foundation – PA
PathWays PA – PA
Pennsylvania Association for College Admission Counseling – PA
Pennsylvania Council of Churches – PA
Pennsylvania National Organization for Women – PA
Pennsylvania War Veterans Council – PA
PennPIRG – PA
Urban Affairs Coalition – PA

Rhode Island

RIPIRG – RI

South Carolina

Columbia Consumer Education Council – SC
SC Association for Community Economic Development – SC
South Carolina Appleseed Legal Justice Center – SC

Tennessee

Bush Financial Services – TN
New Level Community Development Corporation – TN
Tennessee Citizen Action – TN

Texas

Chinese Community Center, Houston – TX
Equal Justice Center – TX
Family Houston – TX
La Fe Policy Research and Education Center – TX
Literacy Advance of Houston – TX
National Council of Jewish Women Texas – TX
Take Back Your Rights PAC – TX
Texas Appleseed – TX
Texas Consumer Association – TX
Texas Watch – TX
TexPIRG – TX
United Way of Greater Houston – TX

Virginia

Appalachian Independence Center – VA
Virginia Citizens Consumer Council – VA
Virginia Poverty Law Center – VA
Virginia Organizing – VA

Vermont

Vermont PIRG (VPIRG) – VT

Utah

Healthy Living Network LLC – UT

Washington

Columbia Legal Services – WA
The Northwest Consumer Law Center – WA
SafeWork Washington – WA
WashPIRG – WA

Washington DC

Franciscan Action Network – DC

Unity Health Care, Inc. – DC

Wisconsin

Congregation of Sisters of St. Agnes – WI

End Domestic Abuse – WI

Legal Aid Society of Milwaukee – WI

Madison-area Urban Ministry – WI

WISPIRG – WI

West Virginia

Mountain State Justice – WV

WV Center on Budget and Policy – WV

West Virginia Citizen Action Group – WV

Regional

Sisters of Charity of Nazareth Western Province Leadership

Potomac and Chesapeake Association for College Admission Counseling

Southern Association for College Admission Counseling

Tri-State Coalition for Responsible Investment

Western Association for College Admission Counseling