July 12, 2017

Monica Jackson Office of the Executive Secretary Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1700 G Street, NW Washington DC 20552

Re: Final Rule on Arbitration Agreements

The 310 undersigned consumer, civil rights, labor, community, and non-profit organizations write to state our strong support for the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)'s final rule to limit pre-dispute binding mandatory (or forced) arbitration clauses in consumer finance contracts. The rule, which will restore consumers' ability to band together in court to pursue claims, is a significant step forward in the ongoing fight to curb predatory practices in consumer financial products and services and to make these markets fairer and safer.

Lenders and other financial services companies use forced arbitration to push consumers out of court and into a private arbitration system that is tilted against them. Forced arbitration eliminates the right to a civil jury trial, limits discovery, restricts or prohibits public disclosure of proceedings and outcomes, and makes meaningful appeals virtually impossible. It also often prohibits consumers from banding together in a class action to hold the company responsible.

Recent scandals again demonstrate the very real harm forced arbitration causes consumers. Reports show that customers had been trying to sue financial services institutions over fraudulent accounts going back a number of years. However, some banks forced those customers into secret, binding arbitration by invoking fine print in consumers' legitimate account agreements to block them from suing over reasons as outrageous as fake accounts, also helping to keep the scandal out of the public eye. Even in cases where widespread fraud has been exposed, banks continue to invoke these fine-print clauses to kill lawsuits stemming from their illegal acts and block consumer recovery.²

The CFPB's thorough arbitration study³ further documents how forced arbitration blocks consumer access to courts, shielding banks and lenders from meaningful accountability for their unlawful behavior. Finalizing the proposed rule will restore crucial class action rights that deter systemic abuses and bring much-needed transparency to consumer financial arbitration.

¹ Michael Hiltzik, *How Wells Fargo exploited a binding arbitration clause to deflect customers' fraud allegations*, THE LOS ANGELES TIMES, Sept. 26, 2016, http://www.latimes.com/business/hiltzik/la-fi-hiltzik-wells-arbitration-20160926-snap-story.html

² Michael Corkery & Stacy Cowley, *Wells Fargo Killing Sham Account Suits by Using Arbitration*, THE NEW YORK TIMES, Dec. 6, 2016, http://www.nytimes.com/2016/12/06/business/dealbook/wells-fargo-killing-sham-account-suits-by-using-arbitration.html

³ "Arbitration Study: Report to Congress, pursuant to Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act § 1028(a)."

The CFPB Study Data Shows That Forced Arbitration Eliminates Consumer Claims and Shields Companies from Accountability

The CFPB's study verified the prevalence of forced arbitration clauses – including class action bans – in consumer financial contracts and found that this practice impacts tens of millions of consumers. Yet it also revealed that consumers typically have no idea they are signing away their right to sue in court when they participate in the financial marketplace.⁴

The most obvious impact of forced arbitration clauses is that they block most consumer claims from going forward at all. Class action bans prevent consumers from bringing complaints of fraud or other abusive or deceptive practices in financial services because the individual value of these claims is often too small for a single consumer to afford to bring alone. Without the option to join together in a class action, just 25 consumers with claims of under \$1,000 pursued arbitration each year. In a country of over 320 million, these numbers leave no doubt that class action bans effectively wipe out consumer claims and thus shield corporate wrongdoers from liability. In the few claims that went to arbitration, the study also confirmed that forced arbitration overwhelmingly favors industry over consumers.⁵

Class Actions Provide Great Benefit for Consumers Cheated by Systemic Wrongdoing and Deter Risky or Illegal Conduct

The data makes clear that class actions provide a practical way for groups of consumers who have suffered the same kind of abuse from the same corporate wrongdoer to join together to attempt to hold the financial institution accountable. The CFPB study found that 34 million consumers received a total of \$2.2 billion in cash payments, debt forbearance, and other in-kind relief from 2008-2012 – not including any attorneys' fees or court costs.

These findings were echoed in an empirical study by disinterested academics, which found consumer class actions against illegal overdraft fees "deliver[ed] fair compensation to a significant portion of class members." Several major banks settled class actions that claimed the banks had purposely reordered consumer transactions to maximize the amount of overdraft fees charged to the consumer. This study found that plaintiffs in these cases recovered up to "65% of damages, with the variation based largely on the strength of the class's claims and the likelihood of winning certification of the class." Yet unknown thousands of other consumers subject to similarly unlawful overdraft fee practices likely got little or no relief when class actions against their banks were dismissed due to arbitration clauses.

⁴ Data revealed that more than 75 percent of consumers surveyed did not know whether they were subject to forced arbitration in their consumer financial contracts, and fewer than 7 percent of those covered by arbitration clauses realized the clauses restricted their ability to sue in court.

⁵ In 2010 and 2011, only 9% of consumers who brought affirmative claims obtained relief in forced arbitration, recovering an average of 12 cents per dollar claimed. In contrast, 93% of companies obtained relief in forced arbitration, recovering an average of 98 cents per dollar.

⁶ Brian T. Fitzpatrick and Robert C. Gilbert, *An Empirical Look at Compensation in Consumer Class Actions* 11 NEW YORK JOURNAL OF LAW & BUSINESS 4 (2015). Available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=2577775.

⁷ See, e.g., In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation, 2012 WL 660974 (11th Cir. Mar. 1, 2012) (finding arbitration contract was not unconscionable).

Even assuming that their claims would be fairly resolved in arbitration, leaving 34 million consumers to find their own attorney, establish the individual facts of their case, and take time off work to attend an arbitration will never be more efficient than pooling time and resources between millions of consumers harmed in the same way by the same bank or lender to challenge abusive practices. Indeed, additional empirical scholarship demonstrates that most consumers are unaware when they have been harmed, unaware that the harm violates a law, or have decided that filing individual claims is not worth their time and expense.⁸

Collective action is critically important, not only for enabling those already victimized to obtain justice, but also for deterring bad behavior and preventing harm to other victims. While each individual consumer may only lose \$25 or \$50 to a fraudulent charge or illegal fee, for example, unlawful practices implemented at a systemic level can add up to millions or more in ill-gotten gains for banks and lenders who violate the law. Government enforcers have limited resources, and the prospect of class actions helps ensure that banks and lenders obey legal requirements that protect consumers.

The Rule's Reporting Requirements Add Crucial Transparency to Arbitration

Our organizations strongly support the proposed provision to begin shining a light on individual arbitrations through reporting requirements. Unlike our legal system, which is built upon hundreds of years of precedent, common law principles, and statutory standards of fairness and ethics, arbitration firms have few constraints on their practices and scant record of their proceedings. The substantially shorter history of consumer arbitration has nonetheless produced both anecdotal claims of unethical behavior⁹ and documented systemic abuses by unregulated arbitration firms.¹⁰

The rule's reporting requirements will lend crucial transparency and accountability to a previously opaque system. Increased transparency can help consumers make informed decisions when choosing how to pursue their claim, in line with well-established principles of the free market. Data collected by the CFPB will also help other government entities, as well as the general public, ensure that arbitrators operate within the law and treat all parties fairly.

The Rule is in the Public Interest and for the Protection of Consumers

Because forced arbitration undermines compliance with laws and creates an uneven playing field between corporations that use forced arbitration and those that allow for greater consumer choice in dispute resolution, it is in the public interest and in the interest of consumer protection to prohibit or strictly curtail the use of forced arbitration clauses in consumer financial contracts.

⁸ When consumers *are* aware of being wronged they may raise complaints internally with companies, file with a government agency, or seek protection from a credit card company if appropriate, rather than engage in more difficult and expensive litigation or arbitration. *See* Jean R. Sternlight, *Mandatory Binding Arbitration Clauses Prevent Consumers from Presenting Procedurally Difficult Claims*, 42 SOUTHWESTERN L. REV. 87, 101-102 (2012). ⁹ Jessica Silver-Greenberg & Michael Corkery, *In Arbitration, a 'Privatization of the Justice System*, 'THE NEW YORK TIMES, Nov. 1, 2015, http://www.nytimes.com/2015/11/02/business/dealbook/in-arbitration-a-privatization-of-the-justice-system.html.

¹⁰ See, e.g., Complaint for Injunctive Relief & Civil Penalties for Violations of Business & Professions Code Section 17200, People v. Nat'l Arbitration Forum, Inc., No. CGC-08- 473569 (Cal. Super. Ct. Mar. 24, 2008).

We commend the CFPB for finalizing its rule to restore consumers' right to choose how to resolve disputes with financial institutions and address the public harm caused by forced arbitration, as thoroughly documented in its three-year, comprehensive study.

For questions about this letter, please contact Amanda Werner, Arbitration Campaign Manager with Americans for Financial Reform and Public Citizen, (202) 973-8004, awerner@ourfinancialsecurity.org; and Christine Hines, National Association of Consumer Advocates, (202) 452-1989, christine@consumeradvocates.org.

Thank you for the opportunity to share our views.

National Signatories

9to5, National Association of Working Women

Action In Maturity, Inc.

Affordable Housing Alliance

AFL-CIO

Alianza Americas

Alliance for Justice

Allied Progress

American Association for Justice

American Association of University Women (AAUW)

American Council of the Blind

American Family Voices

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME)

American Federation of Teachers

Americans for Democratic Action

Americans for Financial Reform

Association of University Centers on Disabilities

Bankruptcy Law Center

The Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law

Center for Economic Integrity

Center for Economic Justice

Center for Global Policy Solutions

Center for Justice & Democracy

Center for Popular Democracy

Center for Progressive Reform

Center for Responsible Lending

Centro Legal de la Raza

CFED

Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws

Consumer Action

Consumer Federation of America

Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety

Consumers Union

Consumer Voice

Daily Kos

Demos

Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund

Economic Analysis and Research Network (EARN)

Economic Policy Institute

The Employee Rights Advocacy Institute For Law & Policy

Equal Justice Society

Equal Justice Works

Fair Share

The Financial Clinic

Food & Water Watch

Fund Democracy

Government Accountability Project

Heartland Alliance for Human Needs & Human Rights

Hindu American Foundation

Homeowners Against Deficient Dwellings

Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy

The Institute for College Access & Success

Institute for Science and Human Values

Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility

International Association for College Admission Counseling

Jobs With Justice

Justice in Aging

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights

League of United Latin American Citizens

Main Street Alliance

Manufactured Housing Action

Mission Asset Fund

NAACP

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.

National Association for College Admission Counseling

National Association of Consumer Advocates

National Association of Social Workers (NASW)

National Center for Law and Economic Justice

National Center for Lesbian Rights

National Center for Transgender Equality

National Coalition For Asian Pacific American Community Development

National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC)

National Council of Jewish Women

National Council of La Raza

National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of its low income clients)

National Consumers League

National Employment Lawyers Association

National Employment Law Project

National Fair Housing Alliance

National Health Law Program

National Latino Farmers & Ranchers Trade Association

National Legal Aid and Defender Association

National LGBTQ Task Force

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Organization for Women

National Urban League

National Women's Law Center

New Rules for Global Finance

Occupational Safety & Health Law Project

Other98

People's Action

Privacy Rights Clearinghouse

Progressive Congress Action Fund

Protect All Children's Environment

Public Citizen

Public Justice

Public Knowledge

Public Law Center

The Rootstrikers Project at Demand Progress

Salvadoran American National Network (SANN)

Service Employees International Union (SEIU)

Small Business Majority

Southern Poverty Law Center

TURN-The Utility Reform Network

United Auto Workers (UAW)

United Church of Christ Justice and Witness Ministries

United Policyholders

U.S. PIRG

Veterans Education Success

Woodstock Institute

Workplace Fairness

Worksafe

World Hunger Education, Advocacy & Training (WHEAT)

Young Invincibles

State and Local Signatories

Alabama

Woodmere Neighborhood Association – AL

Arkansas

Arkansans Against Abusive Payday Lending – AR

Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families - AR

Arizona

Arizona Community Action Association – AZ

Arizona PIRG – AZ

Gila County Community Services – AZ

Mesa Community Action Network – AZ

Save the Family Foundation of Arizona – AZ

California

California Reinvestment Coalition – CA

CALPIRG - CA

Center for Public Interest Law, University of San Diego School of Law – CA

Consumer Attorneys of California – CA

Consumer Federation of California - CA

East Bay Community Law Center - CA

Golden State Manufactured-home Owners League – CA

Law Foundation of Silicon Valley - CA

The Greenlining Institute – CA

Colorado

9to5 Colorado - CO

Bell Policy Center – CO

Build Our Homes Right - CO

Colorado AFL-CIO - CO

Colorado Alliance of Retired Americans - CO

Colorado Council of Churches - CO

Colorado Fiscal Institute – CO

Colorado Latino Forum, Denver Chapter – CO

Colorado Latino Leadership, Advocacy and Research Organization (CLLARO) - CO

Colorado Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) – CO

Colorado Trial Lawyers Association – CO

NAACP State Conference - CO, MT, WY

National Council of Jewish Women, Colorado Section - CO

The Interfaith Alliance of Colorado – CO

Connecticut

Capital For Change, Inc. – CT

CT. Citizen Action Group – CT

Connecticut Legal Services, Inc. - CT

ConnPIRG - CT

Delaware

Legal Aid Society of the District of Columbia – DC

ACLU of Delaware, Inc. – DE

Community Legal Aid Society, Inc. – DE

Delaware Alliance for Community Advancement – DE

Delaware Community Reinvestment Action Council, Inc. – DE

Delaware Manufactured Homeowners Association (DMHOA) – DE

Florida

Catalyst Miami – FL
Fair Housing Center of the Greater Palm Beaches – FL
Florida Alliance for Consumer Protection – FL
Florida PIRG – FL
Jacksonville Area Legal Aid, Inc. – FL

Georgia

Progress Florida – FL

Georgia PIRG – GA Georgia Rural Urban Summit – GA Georgia Watch – GA

Iowa

Iowa Citizens for Community Improvement – IA Iowa PIRG – IA

Illinois

Chicago Jobs Council – IL
Citizen Action – IL
Illinois Asset Building Group – IL
Illinois Association for College Admission Counseling – IL
Illinois PIRG – IL
Manufactured Home Owners Association of Illinois – IL
Metropolitan Tenants Organization – IL
Partners In Community Building, Inc. – IL
Project IRENE – IL

Indiana

Habitat for Humanity of Northeast Indiana – IN HomesteadCS – IN Indiana University McKinney School of Law – IN

Kansas

Interfaith Housing Services, Inc. – KS Labette Assistance Center – KS

Kentucky

Homeless & Housing Coalition of Kentucky – KY Kentucky Council of Churches – KY Kentucky Equal Justice Center – KY

Louisiana

The Middleburg Institute/LABEST – LA

PREACH - LA

Massachusetts

Cambridge Economic Opportunity Committee, Inc. – MA
Community Action! – MA
Consumer World – MA
Massachusetts Consumers Council, Inc. – MA
MASSPIRG – MA
The Midas Collaborative – MA

Maryland

Baltimore CASH Campaign – MD
Baltimore Neighborhoods, Inc. – MD
Belair-Edison Neighborhoods, Inc. – MD
Civil Justice, Inc. – MD
Housing Options & Planning Enterprises, Inc. – MD
Howard County Office of Consumer Protection – MD
Maryland CASH Campaign – MD
Maryland Consumer Rights Coalition – MD
Maryland PIRG – MD
Maryland United for Peace and Justice – MD
Public Justice Center – MD

Michigan

Michigan Association for College Admission Counseling – MI Michigan Disability Rights Coalition – MI PIRG in Michigan (PIRGIM) – MI Progress Michigan – MI

Minnesota

Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid – MN Minnesota Association for College Admission Counseling – MN

Missouri

Missouri Association for College Admission Counseling – MO Missouri Faith Voices – MO Missouri PIRG – MO MORE - Missourians Organizing for Reform and Empowerment – MO

Mississippi

Mississippi Center for Justice – MS

Montana

AFSCME Montana Council 9 – MT Greater Yellowstone Central Labor Council – MT Laborers Local #1686 – MT Montana Organizing Project – MT Rural Dynamics, Inc. – MT

North Carolina

Financial Pathways of the Piedmont – NC North Carolina Consumers Council – NC North Carolina Justice Center – NC

NCPIRG - NC

OnTrack WNC Financial Education & Counseling – NC

Reinvestment Partners – NC

The Collaborative NC – NC

Winston Salem Forsyth County Asset Building Coalition – NC

North Dakota

North Dakota Economic Security and Prosperity Alliance – ND Sacred Pipe Resource Center – ND

Nebraska

Nebraska Appleseed – NE

New Hampshire

Granite State Organizing Project – NH NHPIRG – NH

New Jersey

Consumers League of New Jersey – NJ
Legal Services of New Jersey – NJ
Manufactured Home Owners of New Jersey, Inc. – NJ
New Jersey Association for College Admission Counseling – NJ
New Jersey Citizen Action – NJ
NJ PIRG – NJ
Sisters of St. Dominic of Caldwell – NJ

New Mexico

Center for Economic Integrity - New Mexico Office - NM NMPIRG - NM

Nevada

Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc. – NV Opportunity Alliance Nevada – NV

New York

Bankruptcy Law Center – NY
Central New York Citizens in Action, Inc. – NY
Community Service Society of New York – NY
Empire Justice Center – NY

Empire State Consumer Project – NY

Housing and Family Services of Greater New York, Inc. – NY

Hudson River Housing – NY

JASA Legal Services for the Elderly in Queens – NY

Keuka Housing Council, Inc. – NY

Long Island Housing Services, Inc. – NY

Make the Road New York - NY

MFY Legal Services, Inc. – NY

NELA/NY (New York Affiliate of National Employment Lawyers Association) – NY

New Economy Project - NY

New York Legal Assistance Group – NY

New York Public Interest Research Group (NYPIRG) – NY

New York State Association for College Admission Counseling – NY

Public Utility Law Project of New York – NY

Western New York Law Center - NY

Ohio

Cleveland Tenants Organization - OH

COHHIO - OH

Habitat for Humanity of Findlay/Hancock County – OH

Miami Valley Fair Housing Center, Inc. - OH

Neighborhood Housing Services of Greater Cleveland – OH

Ohio Association of Local Reentry Coalitions - OH

Ohio PIRG - OH

Ohio Poverty Law Center - OH

Oregon

Innovative Changes – OR

Oregon Consumer League – OR

Oregon PIRG (OSPIRG) - OR

Pennsylvania

Integra Home Counseling, Inc. – PA

Keystone Progress – PA

PathWays PA – PA

Pennsylvania Association for College Admission Counseling - PA

Pennsylvania National Organization for Women – PA

PennPIRG - PA

Rhode Island

RIPIRG – RI

South Carolina

Columbia Consumer Education Council – SC

SC Association for Community Economic Development – SC

South Carolina Appleseed Legal Justice Center – SC

Tennessee

New Level Community Development Corporation – TN Tennessee Citizen Action – TN

Texas

Chinese Community Center, Houston – TX
Equal Justice Center – TX
Family Houston – TX
Literacy Advance of Houston – TX
Take Back Your Rights PAC – TX
Texas Appleseed – TX
Texas Consumer Association – TX
Texas Watch – TX
TexPIRG – TX
United Way of Greater Houston – TX

Virginia

Virginia Citizens Consumer Council – VA Virginia Poverty Law Center – VA Virginia Organizing – VA

Vermont

Vermont PIRG (VPIRG) – VT

Washington

Columbia Legal Services – WA The Northwest Consumer Law Center – WA SafeWork Washington – WA WashPIRG – WA

Wisconsin

Legal Aid Society of Milwaukee – WI WISPIRG – WI

West Virginia

Mountain State Justice – WV WV Center on Budget and Policy – WV West Virginia Citizen Action Group – WV

Regional

Potomac and Chesapeake Association for College Admission Counseling Southern Association for College Admission Counseling Tri-State Coalition for Responsible Investment Western Association for College Admission Counseling