

Lee Stunders

Elissa McBride

Vice Presidents

Se'Adoreia K. Brown Miami Springs, FL

Richard L. Caponi Pittsburgh, PA

Stacy Chamberlain Portland, OR

Connie Derr Albuquerque, NM

Greg Devereux

Danny Donohue Albany, NY

Denise Duncan San Dimas, CA

David R. Fillman

Henry A. Garrido New York, NY

Mattie Harrell

Johanna Puno Hester San Diego, CA

Danny J. Homan Des Moines, IA

Nicholas J. LaMorte

Salvatore Luciano New Britain, CT

John A. Lyall Worthington, OH

Kathryn Lybarger Oakland, CA

Roberta Lynch Chicago, IL

Christopher Mabe Westerville, OH

Glenard S. Middleton Sr. Baltimore, MD

> Victoria E. Mitchell New York, NY

Douglas Moore Jr. San Diego, CA

Frank Moroney Boston, MA

Michael Newman Chicogo, IL

Henry Nicholas Philadelphia, PA

Randy Perreira Honolulu, HI Steven Quick Sr.

Lawrence A. Roehrig

Lansing, MI

Joseph P. Rugola Columbus, OH

Eliot Seide South St. Paul. MN

Alan F. Shanahan Los Angeles, CA

> Paul Spink Milwaukee, WI

Mary E. Sullivan Albany, NY

Braulio Torres San Juan, PR

Anthony Wells New York, NY

R- 21

123-17

June 6, 2017

U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Representative:

On behalf of the 1.6 million members of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), I urge you to oppose the misleadingly named Financial CHOICE Act (H.R. 10), which would repeal key consumer protections and economic safeguards enacted in the 2010 (Dodd-Frank) Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. AFSCME strongly opposes H.R. 10.

On a broad scale, H.R. 10 would significantly increase systemic risk to our U.S. economy. On an individual scale, it would undermine key consumer protections that help ensure working families are not harmed by predatory financial practices such as risky and inappropriate mortgage lending that led to the 2008 financial crises. The bill would prevent the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) from protecting consumers from fraud and abuse, which would result in increased problems with payday lending, forced arbitration, and other daily economic transactions. This troubling bill would also weaken investor protections and weaken shareholder rights, including those connected to shareholder proposals.

This bill would repeal the Department of Labor (DOL) best interest standard (i.e., fiduciary rule), which requires retirement investor advisors to provide advice in their clients' best interest. This type of straight forward, common sense standard should be the law of the land. There is no sensible policy reason to repeal it.

Taken together, H.R. 10 weakens consumer protections, investor rights, and economy-wide safeguards. It also would reduce our economic stability and take the country backward.

AFSCME strongly urges you to oppose H.R. 10.

Sincerely,

Scott Frey,

Director of Federal Government Affairs

SF/MG:rf