November 7, 2023

The Honorable Jason Smith
Chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Richard Neal Ranking Member of the House Committee on Ways and Means United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Re: Protecting workers' savings in tax-advantaged retirement plans from investment decisions that ignore significant financial risks and opportunities

Dear Chairman Smith and Ranking Member Neal:

Americans for Financial Reform (AFR) writes in opposition to the premise of the House Ways and Means Committee hearing titled "Ensuring what 'Woke' Doesn't Leave Americans Broke: Protecting Seniors and Savers from ESG Activism." This hearing is part of a much broader, unpopular campaign against common sense investment practices that seeks to force financial actors to ignore a slew of financial risks to the detriment of workers' retirement security.

While the advisory announcing the hearing alleges that the topic is about "protecting Americans' savings in tax-advantaged retirement plans from investment decisions that are rooted in non-financial factors," the reality is that the opposite is necessary: protecting workers' retirement security from anti-ESG attacks. Through state-level anti-ESG legislation – which includes 165 pieces of legislation introduced in 37 states this year¹ – we are seeing the costs of this campaign to retirement savers, as many of these bills lower pension fund returns and raise management fees.² It is therefore not surprising that among other stakeholders, public pension beneficiaries, retirement system officials, and labor unions have strongly opposed these bills.

Many stakeholders have also strongly opposed anti-ESG congressional actions, including legislative proposals that would have a chilling effect on the consideration of financially relevant information and undermine regulations that would equip investors with more information to make better investment decisions. Enclosed is a letter addressed to House leadership in opposition to eight marked up anti-ESG bills signed by over thirty groups, including the AFL-CIO and other labor unions. We hope this hearing

-

¹ Pleiades Strategy, "2023 Statehouse Report: Right-Wing Attacks on the Freedom to Invest Responsibly Falter in Legislatures," Summer 2023, *available at*

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1VJ82mMNupoFSZPQ98nLcW7AtcyBQWB18/view.

² *Id*. at 9-11.

will be redirected to further policies that would improve workers' retirement security, as opposed to furthering policies that would jeopardize workers' hard-earned retirement savings.

Thank you for your consideration of our perspective. Please do not hesitate to contact Natalia Renta at natalia@ourfinancialsecurity.org if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Americans for Financial Reform

November 7, 2023

The Honorable Mike Johnson Speaker of the House United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries
Minority Leader
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Re: Opposition to anti-ESG bills that threaten workers' retirement security and our financial system, and weaken tools of corporate accountability

Dear Speaker Johnson and Minority Leader Jeffries:

Americans for Financial Reform (AFR) and the undersigned organizations write in opposition to several bills that are part of a broader, unpopular campaign against common sense investment practices. This campaign seeks to both force financial actors to ignore a slew of financial risks to the detriment of workers' retirement security and the integrity of our financial system, and weaken tools of corporate accountability. The bills at issue were marked up by the House Financial Services Committee (HFSC) and the House Committee on Education and the Workforce. If passed, they would represent a giveaway to corporations at the expense of workers, investors, and the public.

The bills marked up by HFSC in July were the culmination of what the committee's majority publicly characterized as "ESG month" — a series of six hearings and a markup designed to discourage financial actors from taking into account environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors in their investment decision-making and undermine corporate accountability. The bills can be categorized based on the effects they would have: 1) undermine regulations that would equip investors with more information to make better investment decisions (H.R. 4790); 2) insulate the management of public companies from investor input and accountability, including by eliminating fundamental investor rights to file shareholder proposals (H.R. 4767 and H.R. 4655); and 3) hamstring the ability of federal banking regulators to respond effectively to micro- and macro-prudential risks to the financial system (H.R. 4823). For a more detailed discussion of these bills, see AFR's letter of opposition submitted ahead of the markup.³

The bills marked up by the House Committee on Education and the Workforce in September would amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) with the effect of undermining workers'

³ Americans for Financial Reform, Letter in Opposition to Bills Being Marked up by the House Financial Services Committee, Jul. 25, 2023, *available at*

 $[\]frac{https://our financial security.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Americans-for-Financial-Reform-Letter-Opposing-Anti-ESG-Bills.pdf.}{ti-ESG-Bills.pdf}.$

retirement security. Two of the bills – H.R. 5339 and H.R. 5337 – have a longer history, mirroring two Trump-era Department of Labor (DOL) rules. Those rules were widely criticized and have since been rescinded because they produced significant confusion about what fiduciaries are allowed to consider when making investment decisions, and had a chilling effect on the consideration of financially relevant information – thereby putting workers' retirement security at risk. The other two bills would also harm workers saving for retirement, H.R. 5338 by interfering with efforts to increase diversity among asset managers managing workers' savings and H.R. 5340 by mandating confusing and misleading information be sent to investors. For a more detailed discussion of these bills, see AFR's letter of opposition submitted ahead of the markup.

Congress should not lend support to an effort that would harm the public interest and has triggered fierce and effective opposition from a broad coalition of diverse stakeholders. For example, state-level anti-ESG legislation – which included 165 pieces of legislation introduced in 37 states this year⁶ – faced significant pushback from public pension beneficiaries, retirement system officials, bank and local business associations, and unions. As a result, the vast majority of the bills were defeated.⁷ A strong coalition has also opposed past anti-ESG congressional actions.⁸

https://ourfinancialsecurity.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/7.11.23-Letter-for-the-record-1.pdf.

⁴ Financial Factors in Selecting Plan Investments, Final Rule, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Nov. 13, 2020, available at

https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/11/13/2020-24515/financial-factors-in-selecting-plan-investments; Fiduciary Duties Regarding Proxy Voting and Shareholder Rights, Final Rule, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Dec. 16, 2020, available at

 $[\]frac{https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/12/16/2020-27465/fiduciary-duties-regarding-proxy-voting-ands}{hareholder-rights}.$

⁵ Americans for Financial Reform, Letter in Opposition to Anti-ESG Bills Being Marked up by the House Committee on Education and the Workforce, Sept. 13, 2023, *available at*

 $[\]underline{https://our financial security.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/9.13.23-Corporate Governance-Letter-of-Opposition-to-Anti-ESG-Bills.pdf.}$

⁶ Pleiades Strategy, "2023 Statehouse Report: Right-Wing Attacks on the Freedom to Invest Responsibly Falter in Legislatures," Summer 2023, *available at*

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1VJ82mMNupoFSZPQ98nLcW7AtcyBQWB18/view.

⁷ *Id.* at 5; *see also* Steven Musfon, "The conservative battle against 'woke' banks is backfiring," The Washington Post, Feb. 28, 2023, *available at*

https://www.washingtonpost.com/climate-environment/2023/02/28/climate-change-wall-street-investments/ and Michael Katz, "Kentucky Retirement System Trustees Say It Is Not Subject to State's Anti-ESG Law," Chief Investment Officer, Feb. 15, 2023, available at

https://www.ai-cio.com/news/kentucky-retirement-system-trustees-say-it-is-not-subject-to-states-anti-esg-law/.

⁸ See Americans for Financial Reform & Public Citizen, Coalition letter against the Congressional Review Act resolution seeking to nullify the Department of Labor ESG rule with over 60 signatories, Feb. 24, 2023, available at https://ourfinancialsecurity.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/2.24.23-corporate-power-DOL-ESG-sign-on.pdf; see also Americans for Financial Reform, Coalition letter in opposition to the policy agenda behind House Financial Services Committee's "ESG month" with 58 signatories, available at

Voters overwhelmingly oppose measures like these. Although the anti-ESG campaign is well-funded,⁹ polling decidedly shows a strong majority of voters do not support its goals. For example, 63% of voters do not believe the government should set limits on corporate ESG investments.¹⁰ And when it comes to how companies should operate in our society, "most voters (76%) feel companies play a vital role in society and should be held accountable to make a positive impact on the communities in which they operate." This includes both the majority of Republicans (69%) and the majority of Democrats (82%), reflecting strong bipartisan support.¹¹

For all the reasons stated above, the undersigned organizations urge you to oppose these anti-ESG bills. Thank you for your consideration of our perspective. Please do not hesitate to contact Natalia Renta at natalia@ourfinancialsecurity.org if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Americans for Financial Reform 17 Communications 350.org Adrian Dominican Sisters, Portfolio Advisory Board AFL-CIO Alabama Interfaith Power & Light American Federation of Teachers Center for Popular Democracy ClientEarth USA **Communications Workers of America** Congregation of St. Joseph Daughters of Charity, Province of St. Louise **Environmental Defense Fund** For the Long Term Green America Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility International Brotherhood of Teamsters **Invest Vegan Majority Action**

https://www.wsj.com/articles/conservatives-have-a-new-rallying-cry-down-with-esg-2ef98725.

https://rokksolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Navigating-ESG-in-the-new-Congress.pdf.

⁹ See Julie Bykowicz & Angela Au-Yeung, "Conservatives Have a New Rallying Cry: Down With ESG," The Wall Street Journal, Feb. 26, 2023, available at

¹⁰ ROKK Solutions and Penn State University's Center for the Business of Sustainability, "Navigating ESG in the New Congress," available at

¹¹ Lindsay Singleton, "We asked 1,261 voters about the crackdown on ESG investing. You'll never guess which party's voters are most opposed to it," Fortune, Jan. 2, 2023, *available at* https://fortune.com/2023/01/02/voters-congress-crackdown-esg-investing-youll-republicans-most-opposed-free-market-politics-lindsay-singleton/.

Mercy Investment Services, Inc.

National Education Association

National Women's Law Center

Private Equity Stakeholder Project

Public Citizen

Rhia Ventures

Rise Economy (formerly California Reinvestment Coalition)

Sierra Club

SOC Investment Group

Stance Capital

Strong Economy For All Coalition

Take on Wall Street

The People's Justice Council

Tulipshare, Sustainable Investment Fund

CC: Members of the U.S. House of Representatives