July 11, 2023

The Honorable Patrick McHenry Chairman House Committee on Financial Services 2129 Rayburn HOB Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Maxine Waters Ranking Member House Committee on Financial Services 4340 O'Neill HOB Washington, DC 20515

House Committee on Financial Services 2129 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

## **Re: Upcoming ESG Hearings and Markup**

Dear Chairman McHenry, Ranking Member Waters, and other members of the House Committee on Financial Services:

We, the undersigned organizations, write to you today to express our opposition to the policy agenda apparent from a recent ESG Working Group memorandum<sup>1</sup> and a series of bills introduced in the House.<sup>2</sup> That policy agenda seeks to: 1) insulate the management of public companies from investor input and accountability; and 2) undermine regulations that would equip investors with more information to make better decisions about their investments.

## We oppose insulating the management of public companies from investor input and accountability.

Workers saving for retirement are long-term investors who hold equity in a broad swath of public companies for decades. As Illinois State Treasurer Michael Frerichs repeatedly noted in a recent

<sup>1</sup> ESG Working Group, House Committee on Financial Services, "MEMORANDUM: Preliminary Report on ESG Climate Related Financial Services Concerns," Jun. 23, 2023, *available at* 

https://financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/hfsc\_esg\_working\_group\_memo\_final.pdf.<sup>2</sup> H.R. 448, Putting Investors First Act of 2023, *available at* 

https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/448; H.R. 8521, INDEX Act, available at https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/8521; H.R. 7151, Ensuring Sound Guidance Act, available at https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/7151/text?s=1&r=90; H.R. 9408, Mandatory Materiality Requirement Act of 2022, available at https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress.gov/bill/118th-congress.gov/bill/11

House Oversight Committee hearing, these investors are interested in how a company performs over the next quarter century, not the next quarter.<sup>3</sup> Because their retirement security depends on the ability of the many public companies they are invested in to engage in long-term, sustainable value creation, workers are incentivized to use the tools at their disposal to push companies toward this goal and away from short-term, risky value extraction that jeopardizes the long-term value of their investments. These tools include engaging with companies, filing shareholder proposals, and voting their shares in line with their interests. With these tools, shareholders can push companies to address issues affecting their long-term investments, including those related to workplace safety, human capital, racial equity, environmental sustainability, and workers' freedom of association.

Unfortunately, the policy agenda outlined by the majority in the ESG Working Group memorandum and in the bills they have introduced demonstrates a desire to shut off investors' access to these tools and insulate management from investor input and accountability by targeting various actors in the corporate governance ecosystem:

- Perceiving **proxy advisory firms** to be too unfriendly to management, the majority wants to interfere with these firms' ability to give sound voting advice to their clients by making it more costly and risky to advise voting against management's wishes.<sup>4</sup>
- The majority wants to make it more difficult for shareholders to file **shareholder proposals** and to have those proposals considered by the rest of the shareholders.<sup>5</sup>
- The majority wants to both make it easier for **asset managers** to eschew their responsibility to vote proxies in the best interest of their clients and make it harder for them to vote against management's wishes.<sup>6</sup>
- The majority wants to muddy the legal waters that determine how investment advisors and those managing workers' retirement savings under ERISA can make investment decisions<sup>7</sup> including proxy voting and engagement decisions by introducing an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, "ESG Part I: An Examination of Environmental, Social, and Governance Practices with Attorneys General," May 10, 2023, *available at* 

https://oversight.house.gov/hearing/esg-part-i-an-examination-of-environmental-social-and-governance-practices-wi th-attorneys-general/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H.R. 448, Putting Investors First Act of 2023, sections 3 & 8, available at

https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/448; ESG Working Group, House Committee on Financial Services, "MEMORANDUM: Preliminary Report on ESG Climate Related Financial Services Concerns," 2-7, Jun. 23, 2023, available at https://financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/hfsc\_esg\_working\_group\_memo\_final.pdf. <sup>5</sup> H.R. 448, Putting Investors First Act of 2023, section 6, available at

https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/448.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> HR 448, Putting Investors First Act of 2023, section 4, *available at* <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/448;</u> H.R.8521, INDEX Act, *available at* <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/8521</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> U.S. Congressman Barr, "Barr and Allen Introduce ESG Act to Protect Investors and Preserve Access to Capital for Energy Producers," Jun. 21, 2023, *available at* 

https://barr.house.gov/press-releases?ID=9DB5373C-A4BF-4964-8283-6B186252CDF7; H.R.7151, Ensuring Sound Guidance Act, *available at* https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/7151/text?s=1&r=90.

unworkable distinction between so-called pecuniary and non-pecuniary factors.8

## We oppose undermining regulations that would equip investors with more information to make better decisions about their investments.

Investor protection is a central part of the mission of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). At a time when it is undeniable that climate risk is a significant financial risk,<sup>9</sup> the SEC proposed an important rule that will bring much-needed transparency to investors about how public companies are contending with climate risk and capturing emissions reduction opportunities created by new laws like the Inflation Reduction Act. Investors nearly universally support the rule's main provisions,<sup>10</sup> and securities law experts agree that the proposal is clearly within agency authority.<sup>11</sup> Additionally, the SEC is expected to propose a rule on human capital management disclosures to provide investors with visibility into how public companies manage their workforce, a central component of long-term value creation. These two rules aim to give investors access to consistent, comparable, and decision-useful data that would help them and asset managers get a fuller picture of risks and opportunities to make investment decisions and for the valuation of securities.

Unfortunately, the ESG Working Group memorandum expresses strong opposition to these two rules,<sup>12</sup> and members of the majority have introduced three bills that would take direct aim at the SEC's investor protection mission:

- The **Mandatory Materiality Requirement Act** would stymie the SEC's ability to fulfill its investor protection mission by making it harder for the agency to issue effective rules requiring disclosures that are important to investors.<sup>13</sup>
- The **Protect Farmers from the SEC Act** would block the SEC's ability to require information from public companies that is critical for investors to protect themselves

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> David J. Berger, David H. Webber, & Beth Young, "The Liability Trap: Why the ALEC Anti-ESG Bills Create a Legal Quagmire for Fiduciaries Connected with Public Pensions," Feb. 15, 2023, *available at* <u>https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=4360119</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See generally Financial Stability Oversight Council, "Report on Climate-Related Financial Risk," 2021, available at <u>https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/261/FSOC-Climate-Report.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Steven M. Rothstein, "Analysis shows that investors strongly support the SEC's proposed climate disclosure rule," Ceres, Oct. 11, 2022, *available at* 

https://www.ceres.org/news-center/blog/analysis-shows-investors-strongly-support-secs-proposed-climate-disclosure -rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Jill E. Fisch, George S. Georgiev, Donna M. Nagy, & Cynthia A. Williams, "Climate Change, West Virginia v. EPA, and the SEC's Distinctive Statutory Mandate," Summer 2022, *available at* https://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-10-22/s71022-20154853-323117.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ESG Working Group, House Committee on Financial Services, "MEMORANDUM: Preliminary Report on ESG Climate Related Financial Services Concerns," 13-14, Jun. 23, 2023, *available at* 

https://financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/hfsc\_esg\_working\_group\_memo\_final.pdf. <sup>13</sup> H.R.9408, Mandatory Materiality Requirement Act of 2022, *available at* 

https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/9408.

from climate-related risks.<sup>14</sup> The SEC climate disclosure rule, as proposed, includes extensive protections to avoid burdens on small private businesses — including small farmers. The Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy puts it bluntly: "Agribusinesses are wrongly fighting the wrong battle by enlisting farmers and ranchers to falsely claim that the SEC climate disclosure rule applies to them."<sup>15</sup> Organizations that represent the interests of small farmers wrote the SEC in March 2023 in support of finalizing the rule inclusive of Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions.<sup>16</sup>

• The **Scope 3 Act** would block the SEC from requiring Scope 3 emissions from any issuer.<sup>17</sup> Scopes 3 emissions disclosure has nearly unanimous support from investors<sup>18</sup> who told the SEC during the comment period that they need this information to assess transition risk of companies.

Behind this policy agenda that threatens investor protection and corporate accountability is the fossil fuel industry, bankrolling efforts to protect their own short-term interests regardless of what it means for workers' retirement security, our financial system, and beyond.<sup>19</sup> Unfortunately, this effort often involves the use of anti-woke rhetoric. Although woke simply means to be aware of racial and social injustices, some are using anti-woke rhetoric to fuel racial resentment in pursuit of policies that serve short-term corporate interests at the expense of working people, their retirement security, and corporate accountability.

We urge you to forcefully take a stand against these policies and this approach. For further discussion, contact Natalia Renta at <u>natalia@ourfinancialsecurity.org</u>.

Sincerely,

## National & international organizations

Americans for Financial Reform

American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO) American Federation of Teachers (AFT)

https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/1018.

https://www.iatp.org/agribusiness-opposition-proposed-sec-rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> H.R.1018 - Protect Farmers from the SEC Act, *available at* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Steve Suppan, "Agribusiness opposition to the proposed SEC climate-related financial disclosure rule," Institute for Agriculture & Trade Policy, Aug. 12, 2022, *available at* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Group letter to the Securities & Exchange Commission on climate-risk disclosure proposed rule, *available at* <u>https://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-10-22/s71022-20159767-327935.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> H.R.3057, Scope 3 Act, available at <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/3057/text</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Steven M. Rothstein, "Analysis shows that investors strongly support the SEC's proposed climate disclosure rule," Ceres, Oct. 11, 2022, *available at* 

https://www.ceres.org/news-center/blog/analysis-shows-investors-strongly-support-secs-proposed-climate-disclosure -rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Jessica Church, "The Big Money Behind the Fight to Ban Responsible Investing," Inequality.org, Jul. 3, 2023, *available at <u>https://inequality.org/research/big-money-behind-fight-to-ban-responsible-investing/</u>.* 

As You Sow Businesses for a Livable Climate CatholicNetwork US Center for LGBTQ Economic Advancement & Research (CLEAR) Change Finance, PBC **Climate Finance Action** Climate Hawks Vote Communications Workers of America (CWA) **Environmental Defense Fund** Green America Honor the Earth Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility Maryknoll Sisters Public Citizen RapidShift Network Rhia Ventures **Rise Economy** Save EPA Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Seventh Generation Interfaith Coalition for Responsible Investment Sierra Club **Small Business Alliance** SOC Investment Group Stance Capital, LLC System Change Not Climate Change The Horizon Project Take on Wall Street Trillium Asset Management Tulipshare Union of Concerned Scientists Unitarian Universalist Association US Partnership for Education for Sustainable Development Women's Earth and Climate Action Network (WECAN) Zevin Asset Management

State & local organizations

Accelerate Neighborhood Climate Action Call to Action Colorado CO Businesses for a Livable Climate

Community for Sustainable Energy Greater New Orleans Housing Alliance I-70 Citizens Advisory Group Indivisible Ambassadors Larimer Alliance for Health, Safety and Environment Littleton Business Alliance Mayfair Park Neighborhood Association Board Mental Health & Inclusion Ministries The Mind's Eye Montbello Neighborhood Improvement Association Southwest Organization for Sustainability Spirit of the Sun, Inc. The Green House Connection Center Unite North Metro Denver Wall of Women Western Slope Businesses for a Livable Climate Womxn from the Mountain Working for Racial Equity