Dear Representative,

We strongly urge you to oppose all proposals to weaken the structure, powers or funding of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. Currently, provisions of the Full Committee Print of the Financial Services and General Government Appropriations bill (as dated June 4, 2012, 3:52pm, Sections 501-503 on pages 58-59) would eliminate the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau’s (CFPB) independence by making it the only bank regulatory agency placed under the appropriations process, beginning in FY 2014. Additional provisions impose new, additional and redundant oversight mechanisms on the bureau.
We urge all members of Congress to oppose this budget proposal and similar proposals to undermine the CFPB’s ability to protect consumers, even as the agency is opening its doors. Funding the CFPB through appropriations would saddle Americans with the cost of supporting the agency and allow big banks to thwart its funding through a politicized appropriations process that other bank regulators are insulated from for good reason. As with every other banking regulatory agency, the CFPB is not currently subject to the appropriations process. It receives funding through the Federal Reserve. This was done by Congress to ensure that the CFPB’s independence was not compromised by overwhelming political pressure from the financial services industry.

The CFPB was a cornerstone of the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 designed to prevent future financial collapses. Its structure was carefully balanced and it was placed under a number of oversight mechanisms. The CFPB has testified on numerous occasions before several committees of the House about its successful efforts to implement the law’s mandates. It has testified on its efforts to protect consumers and also on its other primary goals, including to eliminate outmoded regulations, promote fair competition and “encourage markets for consumer financial products and services that operate transparently and efficiently and to facilitate access and innovation.”

The CFPB is already under sufficient oversight. First, unlike other banking agencies, which can set their own budgets, the CFPB’s independent budget authority is capped at a maximum amount by law and additional funds must be sought through the appropriations process. Second, the CFPB is currently the only federal agency that can have its rules overturned by other agencies, although Bureau opponents want to go further by giving bank regulators broader “veto” power over CFPB actions. Third, the CFPB’s rulemaking is subject to additional review for its effect on small business.

Given these and other unprecedented limits in the Dodd-Frank Act on the CFPB’s ability to act to protect consumers, and other oversight mechanisms already enacted, placing the CFPB under the appropriations process would be a serious mistake that would harm consumers, harm fair-dealing firms and harm the economy. If adopted, the current proposal would result in weakening the CFPB and adversely affecting its ability to curb the kinds of financial abuses that have cost American consumers billions of dollars already, resulting in the nation’s worst financial crisis since the Great Depression.

Please have your staff contact Ed Mierzwinski (edm@pirg.org) of U.S. PIRG or Travis Plunkett of the Consumer Federation of America (tpluckett@consumerfed.org) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Americans for Financial Reform
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